

2018 Current Fiscal Year Report: National Intelligence University Board of Visitors

Report Run Date: 06/05/2019 05:49:41 AM

1. Department or Agency

Department of Defense

2. Fiscal Year

2018

3. Committee or Subcommittee

National Intelligence University Board of Visitors

3b. GSA Committee No.

397

4. Is this New During Fiscal Year?

No

5. Current Charter

06/04/2018

6. Expected Renewal Date

06/04/2020

7. Expected Term Date

8a. Was Terminated During Fiscal Year?

No

8b. Specific Termination Authority

8c. Actual Term Date

9. Agency Recommendation for Next Fiscal Year

Continue

10a. Legislation Req to Terminate?

No

10b. Legislation Pending?

Not Applicable

11. Establishment Authority

Agency Authority

12. Specific Establishment Authority

Agency Determination

13. Effective Date

01/14/1998

14. Committee Type

Continuing

14c. Presidential?

No

15. Description of Committee

Non Scientific Program Advisory Board

16a. Total Number of Reports

No Reports for this Fiscal Year

17a. Open Meetings and Dates 0 17b. Closed Meetings and Dates 1 17c. Partially Closed Meetings and Dates 0 17d. Total Meetings and Dates 1

Purpose

Semi-Annual NIU BOV Meeting (Jun 2019)

Start

06/19/2018

End

- 06/20/2018

Number of Committee Meetings Listed: 1

| | Current FY | Next FY |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| 18a(1). Personnel Pmts to Non-Federal Members | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18a(2). Personnel Pmts to Federal Members | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18a(3). Personnel Pmts to Federal Staff | \$29,000.00 | \$33,000.00 |
| 18a(4). Personnel Pmts to Non-Member Consultants | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18b(1). Travel and Per Diem to Non-Federal Members | \$1,168.00 | \$7,200.00 |
| 18b(2). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Members | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18b(3). Travel and Per Diem to Federal Staff | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18b(4). Travel and Per Diem to Non-member Consultants | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18c. Other(rents,user charges, graphics, printing, mail, etc.) | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18d. Total | \$30,168.00 | \$40,200.00 |

20a. How does the Committee accomplish its purpose?

The Board of Visitors meets twice annually to review the operations of the National Intelligence University. The Board reports to the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, the Secretary of Defense (through the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence), and the Director of National Intelligence who are charged with the responsibility of overseeing and funding University operations. Board recommendations are addressed at the next meeting with a progress update.

20b. How does the Committee balance its membership?

The Board currently consists of members representing the three communities specifically mentioned in the Charter, “distinguished members of the national intelligence community, defense, and academia.” Currently around nine of the members are able to represent the interests of the national Intelligence Community, six are able to represent the interests of the defense and military, and four are able to represent the interests of academia and higher education. Please note that some Board members are able to represent the interests of multiple constituencies.

20c. How frequent and relevant are the Committee Meetings?

The Board meets twice annually to consider the full range of activities in which the College is engaged. It provides advice on matters related to mission, policy, finances, facilities, faculty, curricula, research, administration, and accreditation of the National Intelligence University. A Board of Visitors is a mandatory requirement for continuation of the College's academic accreditation with the Middle States Commission on Higher Education and maintaining academic accreditation is required by DoD Instruction 3305.01.

20d. Why can't the advice or information this committee provides be obtained elsewhere?

The Secretary of Defense has determined that no other DoD advisory committee can provide the independent advice provided by the Board on University matters such as curricula, methods of instruction, facilities, education/training standards, organizational management, and academic accreditation.

20e. Why is it necessary to close and/or partially closed committee meetings?

Meetings are not closed to the public unless the DoD determines that items on the planned agenda meet the closed meeting provisions of 5 U.S.C. § 552b(c). Pursuant to DoD policy closed meetings can only be authorized by the DoD Sponsor, the Under

Secretary of Defense for Intelligence, and only after consultation with the appropriate general counsel.

21. Remarks

The Board of Visitors normally issues a report in for each meeting containing summary observations and recommendations for the decision maker. The Board typically delivers the report to the decision maker both in-person and in writing. A Board of Visitors is mandated by the University's accrediting body, the Middle States Commission on Higher Education. Attaining and retaining academic accreditation is required by the University's Charter Document, DoD Instruction, 3305.1 as revised. Department of Defense (DoD) policy between fiscal year 2007 and 2011 required that subcommittee members be listed separately in the subcommittee section of GSA's Database, even if they were duly appointed members of the parent committee. This policy, in some instances, caused a duplication of entries. Beginning in fiscal year 2012, DoD will only list in the subcommittee section of GSA's Database those who are appointed to just a subcommittee. If an individual is appointed to the parent committee and to one of the committee's authorized subcommittees then his or her subcommittee affiliation will be reflected in the parent committee membership section of GSA's Database.

Designated Federal Officer

Susan M. Studds Provost, National Intelligence University

| Committee Members | Start | End | Occupation | Member Designation |
|------------------------|------------|------------|--|--|
| Allen, Thad | 10/02/2014 | 10/01/2018 | Senior Vice President, Booz Allen Hamilton; Twenty-Third Commandant of the United States Coast Guard | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| Baginski, Maureen | 07/07/2007 | 07/06/2019 | Former Executive Assistant Director for Intelligence, FBI | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| Bement, Arden | 03/20/2012 | 03/19/2020 | Inaugural Director, Global Policy Research Institute, Purdue University | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| Gannon, John | 03/20/2012 | 03/19/2020 | Professor, Georgetown University | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| Glawe, David | 01/23/2018 | 01/22/2022 | Department of Homeland Security | Ex Officio Member |
| Inglis, John | 01/24/2018 | 02/24/2021 | US Naval Academy, Computer Science Cyber Intelligence | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| Kircher, Deborah | 10/02/2014 | 10/01/2018 | Assistant Director of National Intelligence for Human Capital | Ex Officio Member |
| Louie, Gilman | 01/24/2018 | 02/24/2021 | Partner, Alsop Louie Partners | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| O'Rourke Isham, Joanne | 11/14/2011 | 11/13/2019 | Founding Partner, Isham Associates, LLC | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| Rishikof, Harvey | 11/14/2011 | 11/13/2019 | Co-Chair, American Bar Association Cybersecurity Legal Task Force | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |
| Sands, Timothy | 01/24/2017 | 02/24/2021 | President, Virginia Tech University | Special Government Employee (SGE) Member |

Number of Committee Members Listed: 11

Narrative Description

The Board of Visitors provides a high level review of University activities. At each meeting, faculty and staff provide briefings on a range of issues including budget, facilities, IT developments, faculty members, enrollment trends, student progress, curriculum, research, and outreach. The Board reports to the Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, the Secretary of Defense (through the Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence), and the Director of National Intelligence who are charged with the responsibility of overseeing and funding University operations. The Board also makes recommendations to the President of the National Intelligence University. On an annual basis the board reviews progress on the Strategic Plan and if necessary recommends new directions.

What are the most significant program outcomes associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

| | |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Improvements to health or safety | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Trust in government | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Major policy changes | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Advance in scientific research | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Effective grant making | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Improved service delivery | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Increased customer satisfaction | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Implementation of laws or regulatory requirements | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

Outcome Comments

Fulfills a requirement for an independent advisory board by Middle States Commission on Higher Education necessary for maintenance of the National Intelligence University's accreditation. Also fulfills the requirements of DoD Instruction 3305.1, as revised.

What are the cost savings associated with this committee?

Checked if Applies

| | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| None | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Unable to Determine | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Under \$100,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$100,000 - \$500,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$500,001 - \$1,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$1,000,001 - \$5,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| \$5,000,001 - \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Over \$10,000,000 | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Cost Savings Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Cost Savings Comments

NA

What is the approximate Number of recommendations produced by this committee for the life of the committee?

165

Number of Recommendations Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Fully implemented by the agency?

53%

% of Recommendations Fully Implemented Comments

What is the approximate Percentage of these recommendations that have been or will be Partially implemented by the agency?

27%

% of Recommendations Partially Implemented Comments

Approximately twenty-seven percent of the Committee's recommendations have been initiated, and are underway or being reviewed for implementation.

Does the agency provide the committee with feedback regarding actions taken to implement recommendations or advice offered?

Yes ☒ No ☐ Not Applicable ☐

Agency Feedback Comments

Each report calls for a report of progress on a recommendation and at the next meeting of the Board the College provides an update.

What other actions has the agency taken as a result of the committee's advice or recommendation?

Checked if Applies

Reorganized Priorities



Reallocated resources



- | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Issued new regulation | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Proposed legislation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Approved grants or other payments | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Action Comments

NA

Is the Committee engaged in the review of applications for grants?

No

Grant Review Comments

NA

How is access provided to the information for the Committee's documentation?

Checked if Applies

- | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Contact DFO | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Online Agency Web Site | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Online Committee Web Site | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Online GSA FACA Web Site | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Publications | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Other | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Access Comments

N/A